

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,589	10/30/2003	Yong Chen	10992517-3	8317	
75	90 10/15/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	ACKARD COMPANY	WEISS, H	WEISS, HOWARD		
Intellectual Prop P. O. Box 2724	perty Administration	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2814		
		DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004	DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/697,589		CHEN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit	<del></del>			
		Howard Weis	s	2814	Bry			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the co	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence add	iress			
	Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Oc	<u>ctober 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	·						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-17 is/are rejected.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
10)🖾	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/697,589 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

Attorney's Docket Number: 10992517-3

Filing Date: 10/30/03

Continuing Data: Division of 10/104,348 (3/22/02 now U.S. Patent No. 6,699,779)

Claimed Foreign Priority Date: none Applicant(s): Chen et al. (Williams)

**Examiner: Howard Weiss** 

## Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in the first sentence of the Specification as amended, ---now U.S. Patent No. 6,699,779--- should be inserted after "2002." Appropriate correction is required.

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/697,589

Art Unit: 2814

Page 3

4. Claims 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hofmann et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,707,098).

Hofmann et al. show most aspects of the instant invention (e.g. Figure 1) including:

- > a first elongated nanowire **101** on an insulating surface (not shown)
- > a second elongated nanowire 107 at right angles to said first nanowires
- ➤ a gap, about between 0.4 and 10 nm, filled with material **103** which stores electric charge

In references to the claimed gap dimensions, a prima facie case of obviousness exists where the claimed ranges and prior art ranges do not overlap but are close enough that one skilled in the art would have expected them to have the same properties. *Titanium Metals Corporation of America v. Banner*, 778 F.2d 775, 227 USPQ 773 (Fed. Cir. 1985); See MPEP 2144.05. The gap dimension in Hofmann et al. (10.9 nm) is close to the ranges claimed that one skilled in the art would have expected them to have the same properties.

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hofmann et al. and Shin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,515,339).

Hofmann et al. show most aspects of the instant invention (Paragraph 4) except for the first and second nanowires forming a transistor having source, drain and gate. Shin et al. teach (e.g. Figure 25) to form a transistor from nanowires as a well known device in the art for nanotechnology applications (Column 1 Lines 9 to30). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to form a transistor from nanowires as taught by Shin et al. in the device of Hofmann et al. as a well known device in the art for nanotechnology applications.

6. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hofmann et al. and Jin (U.S. Patent No. 6,286,226).

Art Unit: 2814

Hofmann et al. disclose most aspects of the claimed invention (Paragraph 4) except for the use of Carbon nanotubes for the nanowire material instead of semiconductor material chosen from the group as claimed. Jin teaches (Column 6 Lines 16 to 22) that semiconductor material is an equivalent nanowire material known in the art. Therefore, because these two nanowire materials were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute a semiconductor material for the carbon nanotubes.

7. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hofmann et al. and Yano et al. (JP 04-097564).

Hofmann et al. disclose most aspects of the claimed invention (Paragraph 4) except for the gap filled with material having an electric dipole moment and the first and second nanowires forming a two-electrode memory switching device. Yano et al. teach (e.g. Figure 17) to fill a gap with material 52 having an electric dipole moment and the first 58 and second 57 nanowires forming a two-electrode memory switching device to permit high-speed information processing by extremely small electric consumption (see PURPOSE). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to fill a gap with material having an electric dipole moment and the first and second nanowires forming a two-electrode memory switching device as taught by Yano et al. in the device of Hofmann et al. to permit high-speed information processing by extremely small electric consumption.

## Conclusion

8. Paper copies of cited U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will cease to be mailed to applicants with Office actions as of June 2004. Paper copies of foreign patents and non-patent literature will continue to be included with office actions. These cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site

(www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. Applicants are referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html">http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html</a> or 1-866-217-9197 for information on this policy. Requests to restart a period for response due to a missing U.S. patent or patent application publications will not be granted.

- 9. Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2814 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306. The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2814 applications.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard Weiss at (571) 272-1720 and between the hours of 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday or by e-mail via <a href="mailto:Howard.Weiss@uspto.gov">Howard.Weiss@uspto.gov</a>.
- 11. The following list is the Examiner's field of search for the present Office Action:

Field of Search	Date	
U.S. Class / Subclass(es): 257/ 295, 310	10/8/04	
Other Documentation: PLUS Analysis report	10/7/04	
Electronic Database(s): EAST, IEL, ScienceDirect	10/12/04	

HW/hw 12 October 2004 Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2814